Galaxy Formation, Reionisation and MWA

ASTRU JU



Stuart Wyithe

with thanks to: Yuxiang Qin, Brad Greig, Balu Sreedhar, Simon Mutch

EoR collaboration

Reionisation



Age of the Universe in billions of years

- Reionisation by stars
- Simulating galaxy formation and reionisation
- Constraining astrophysics of reionisation with the MWA
- Forecasting galaxy formation constraints from reionisation for the SKA

Outline

• Reionisation by stars

- Simulating galaxy formation and reionisation
- Constraining astrophysics of reionisation with the MWA
- Forecasting galaxy formation constraints from reionisation for the SKA

Reionization by galaxies?

ASTRO JD



- Empirical extrapolations of the LF imply that faint galaxies can reionize hydrogen
- Conclusions are sensitive to the unknown escape fraction, and faint end LF



Simulate Reionization and galaxy formation

ASIKU JD



• Sensible SF efficiency (~0.1) and escape fraction (~0.1) can reionize hydrogen

Simulate Reionization and galaxy formation

ASTRO JD



- Overdense regions are reionized first
- Galaxy evolution drives the shape of the 21cm power-spectrum

Star formation follows structure
formation, which is subject to galaxy
bias, and so sensitive to environment
$$\frac{dQ_{\delta,R}}{dt} = \frac{N_{\rm ion}}{0.76} \left[Q_{\delta,R} \frac{dF_{\rm col}(\delta,R,z,M_{\rm ion})}{dt} + (1-Q_{\delta,R}) \frac{dF_{\rm col}(\delta,R,z,M_{\rm min})}{dt} \right] - \alpha_{\rm B} C n_{\rm H}^0 \left(1 + \delta \frac{D(z)}{D(z_{\rm obs})} \right) (1+z)^3 Q_{\delta,R},$$



ASTRU JU

Outline

• Reionisation by stars

• Simulating galaxy formation and reionisation

• Constraining astrophysics of reionisation with the MWA

• Forecasting galaxy formation constraints from reionisation for the SKA

Semi-analytic Reionization and galaxy formation

ASIKU JU



- Coupled, spatially dependent reionisation, and feedback with 21cmFAST;
- Implemented within "horizontal" dark matter trees with high time resolution;
- No instantaneous mass recycling, with time resolved SNe feedback;

Constraints against the luminosity function

ASTRO JD





 $\frac{L_{\rm X}}{\rm SFR}$: Galaxy X-ray luminosity per SFR E_0 : Minimum X-ray photon energy in eV $f_{\rm esc, 0}$: Escape fraction normalisation $\alpha_{\rm esc}$: Escape fraction redshift scaling $\Sigma_{\rm SF}$: Critical mass normalisation $\alpha_{\rm SF}$: Star formation efficiency ϵ_0 : Supernova ejection efficiency η_0 : Supernova reheat efficiency

• Reproducing the LF requires strong SNe feedback

21cm power-spectrum and galaxy formation

ASTRO JD

Increasing feedback and typical galaxy mass



Geil et al. (2015)

Large reionization simulations with X-rays

ASTRO JD



• X-rays have significant influence on the 21cm PS amplitude and evolution

Outline

• Reionisation by stars

• Simulating galaxy formation and reionisation

• Constraining astrophysics of reionisation with the MWA

• Forecasting galaxy formation constraints from reionisation for the SKA

Constraints from the MWA limits



Constraints from the MWA limits



 Some of these excluded models are on the border of what are physically "reasonable"



Greig et al. (2022)

Outline

• Reionisation by stars

- Simulating galaxy formation and reionisation
- Constraining astrophysics of reionisation with the MWA
- Forecasting galaxy formation constraints from reionisation for the SKA

Forecast constraints for the SKA

ASTRU JU



• The SKA has the potential for high S/N measurements

Balu et al. (2023)

Forecast constraints for the SKA

AOTRU JU $\begin{array}{c} \log_{10} \left(\frac{\mathrm{L_X}}{\mathrm{SFR}} \right) \\ 40.50 \pm 0.0027 \end{array}$ Balu et al. (2023) $E_0 \\ 500.00 \pm 27.7080$ 600 $\frac{L_X}{SFR}$: Galaxy X-ray luminosity per SFR B 500 E_0 : Minimum X-ray photon energy in eV $\begin{array}{c} f_{\rm esc,\,0} \\ 0.14\pm 0.0069 \end{array}$ $f_{\rm esc, 0}$: Escape fraction normalisation 400 0.16 $\alpha_{\rm esc}$: Escape fraction redshift scaling $f_{ m esc,\,0}$ Σ_{SF} : Critical mass normalisation 0.14 $\alpha_{\rm SF}$: Star formation efficiency $lpha_{ m esc} \ 0.20 \pm 0.0965$ 0.12 ϵ_0 : Supernova ejection efficiency 0.5 η_0 : Supernova reheat efficiency $lpha_{ m esc}$ $\log_{10}(\Sigma_{\rm SF})$ 0.0 1.86 ± 0.7401 $\log_{10}(\Sigma_{\rm SF})$ $\log_{10}(\alpha_{\rm SF})$ -1.00 ± 0.0436 21-cm PS + UV-LF 🔺 21-cm PS & UV-LF 10^{1} $\log_{10}(\alpha_{\rm SF})$ -0.9 $\frac{\log_{10}(\epsilon_0)}{0.19\pm 0.0309}$ $|\sigma(\theta_{\rm i})/\theta_{\rm i}^{\rm fid}|$ 10^{-1} 0.3 $\log_{10}(\epsilon_0)$ 10^{-3} 0.2 $\frac{\log_{10}(\eta_0)}{0.84\pm0.0658}$ 0.1 $\log_{10}(\eta_0)$ 1.0 600 (2) 100 logo (L+ Ŷ d.es 0.8 1.5 3.0 1.5 0.0 1.12,1.04,0.96,0.88 0.10.150.20.250.30 075 'èo $\alpha_{\rm esc}$ $\log_{10}(\Sigma_{\mathrm{SF}}) - \log_{10}(\alpha_{\mathrm{SF}})$ $\log_{10}(\eta_0)$ $\log_{10}(\epsilon_0)$ $f_{\rm esc, 0}$ $\log_{10}\left(\frac{L_X}{SER}\right)$

• The SKA has the potential to constraint star formation, SNe feedback and X-rays

- Simulations of galaxy formation with reionisation show imprint in 21cm PS
- •Astrophysics of reionisation beginning to be constrained by the MWA
- •The SKA should be able to measure aspects of galaxy formation from 21cm PS



T1 First light: 2004



Conclusions