

# Evidence of Ultra-faint RFI in Deep 21-cm Power Spectra

Dr. Mike Wilensky University of Manchester michael.wilensky@manchester.ac.uk











- •Why RFI is a problem in 21-cm power spectrum estimation
- •Basic study design of 2014 Highband Data
- •Data analysis (RFI statistics, power spectrum jackknife tests)
- Deepest integration/power spectrum upper limit

### **Talk Outline**



### Why RFI is a problem pt. 1

- •The 21-cm signal is very faint
- •We are using the frequency axis as a "line-of-sight" distance axis
- the signal
- •RFI has spectral structure



### •Contaminants with significant spectral structure are hard to separate from

Source: https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6012



### Why RFI is a problem pt. 2



- •Depending on the wave mode and type of RFI, the EoR PS signal is (probably) about as bright as that of a ~10 mJy RFI source
- •But how much RFI is in our deep integrations? No groups have a great quantitative handle on this, but also no one sees systematics that are obviously associated with RFI.



- •Take a fairly large data set (1 season; 2014 EoR highband data)
- •Separate data by RFI content (as seen by SSINS)
- •See if we can find stuff in the power spectra of different subsets at different integration depths
- •Make a power spectrum upper limit (any effect?)

### **Basic Study Design**







### **RFI Content**

0.6 occupancy fraction after extension

- RFI flagged using SSINS (finds fainter things than AOFlagger, did not use AOFlagger flags)
- •RFI tends to appear in "runs" (it clusters in time; physically expected)









### Seems to be worse in Western Pointings, pt. 1





### Seems to be worse in Western Pointings

The University of Manchester



More Western pointings further to the right in "Absolved" observations

- Also somewhat true of the "pure" observations, but really only at high significance
- Difference indicates that the systematic is worse in the absolved than the pure



### **PS Footprint is Enhanced when we turn off flags**

The University of Manchester







- •Brighter RFI events in the SSINS don't necessarily correlate with worse contamination in the integrated power spectra
- Different RFI types don't seem to correlate with different PS shapes (counter) to theoretical expectation)
- •There seems to be an optimal integration depth of  $\sim$  half an hour suspect this is due to subsets of this size having fewer nights involved

### Some puzzles from the jackknives



- not calculate a metric (RTS fail)
- seen in jackknife tests
- obviously show the RFI footprint

## Making a limit

Cut any observation with sub-excellent ionosphere or for which we could

Cut all absolved observations since there is probably residual RFI in them as

•Cut a few additional small subsets of "pure" observations that seemed to





Wall of Shame (119 Obs)

### **Some Deep PS**







### **Final Limits**

- •Not our deepest limit (in fact high by a factor of a few)
- However almost every bin between the coarse band harmonics is noise limited



- •Residual RFI exists despite significant improvements in RFI flagging
- •Seems to correlate with pointing, and is more noticeable at shallow integration depths
- Exhaustive RFI cutting based on PS metrics seems to clean up regions of spherical PS b/w coarse band harmonics
- Potential threat of extremely faint RFI and nontrivial integration behavior suggests we should attack this problem harder to better understand the actual risks

### Conclusion